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Abstract
A fifteen days experiment was conducted with the application of different agrochemicals such as lime, dolomite and gypsum in two levels to find out the phosphorous reduction potential of these chemicals at laboratory. Among the 3 agrochemicals gypsum showed better phosphorous reduction at the dose of 40 mg/L followed by dolomite had comparatively high phosphorous reduction than lime application. The water quality parameters indicates that application of these chemicals at the rate of 20 and 40 mg/L dose level did not produce any adverse condition on the pH, alkalinity and hardness values. Hence, application of gypsum at the rate of 40 mg/L is recommended for use in aquaculture ponds to reduce the phosphorous load in the water.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Aquaculture industry is growing day by day both in area and volume of production, to satisfy human food needs. Further, there is an enough scope for horizontal as well as vertical expansion of aquaculture sector. But, in the present scenario availability of good quality water is the major constraint for aquaculture operation. Because freshwater aquaculture ponds receive water from natural water bodies such as lakes, rivers and reservoirs which are indiscriminately polluted by untreated effluent discharge from different industries, farming activities, pesticide and fertilizer rich agricultural runoff, sewage and household waste. Since, the natural water source is highly polluted which leads to undesirable effects on the receiving aquaculture pond particularly by increasing the phosphorous, nitrogen and organic load and pave a path for excessive growth of algae including toxic algal blooms known as eutrophication. This may produce a variety of nuisance conditions, including a threat to the aquatic life by decreasing the level of dissolved oxygen in water. Generally, unpolluted water bodies such as tropical rivers contain total phosphorus in the ranges between 0.6 – 1.86 mg/L [1]. Beyond this level the water body is prone to eutrophication. In river Ganges, the phosphorous concentration has been increased to 2-2.5 mg/L in last two decades while in Mansarovar reservoir very high concentration of phosphorous (4-13 mg/L) was recorded due to effect of pollution which very much higher than the normal acceptable limit of nutrients.

Aquaculture production mainly depends on the primary productivity of the pond. Primary production is manipulated by the application of fertilizers in fish ponds. Phytoplankton requires the level of phosphorus and nitrogen at the ratio of 1P: 4N for best production. Bibliography [2] observed that application of orthophosphate at the level of 0.3 ppm to fish culture pond was effectively absorbed up to 41% by phytoplankton alone. The acceptable concentration of soluble inorganic phosphorus is 0.005–0.2 mg/L in pond water [3]. Hence, having a complete knowledge on level of phosphorous in receiving water is very essential for choosing the correct dose of fertilizer application during the course of pond culture. This will urge to find out the remedy for the treatment...
of nutrient rich water received from natural source for aquaculture purpose. In this context, control or removal of excessive phosphate could be performed by changing the soluble phosphates into its insoluble or adsorbed forms through biological or chemical methods. Generally, chemicals such as CaCO$_3$, Ca(OH)$_2$, CaO, gypsum, alum and dolomite are serve as precipitants and cationic adsorbents which are more frequently used for removal of nutrients from polluted water because they are inexpensive. The chemical substances mainly produce inactive insoluble complex with nutrients through which it will show their effects on nutrient removal. These insoluble phosphate complexes are relatively less available for absorption by plankton since it is strongly bound up in the bottom sediments. Hence, the present study was conducted to determine the effect of different aquaculture chemicals such as lime, dolomite and gypsum at different dose levels on the phosphorus reduction from phosphorous rich (1 mg/L) water.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

An experiment was conducted to do the comparative study on effect of lime, dolomite and gypsum on phosphorus reduction in water. The present study was conducted over a period of 15 days in soil and water chemistry section, Central Institute of Freshwater Aquaculture, Bhubaneshwar, India.

2.1. Experimental setup

The experiment was carried out in glass cylindrical tanks of 10 L capacity. The experimental tanks were washed thoroughly with clean water and filled with 10 L water. The experiment was conducted with 1 control, 6 treatments with each of three replicates following completely randomised design. All the tanks were added with 1 mg phosphorous/L. The experimental tanks were denoted as C (control), L20 (lime 20 mg/L), L40 (lime 40 mg/L), D20 (dolomite 20 mg/L), D40 (dolomite 40 mg/L), G20 (gypsum 20 mg/L) and G40 (gypsum 40 mg/L).

2.2. Physico-chemical parameters of water

The standard acid titration method was used for the estimation of total alkalinity and total hardness [4]. Total phosphorus (TP) determination was carried out with the standard spectrophotometric method using visible U.V spectrophotometer (APHA 1998). The pH was done with digitally calibrated pH meter (HANNA, Model pH211).

2.3. Statistical analysis

The effect of application of different agrochemicals on the level of phosphorous reduction was done with one way ANOVA. Duncan’s multiple range test was used to determine the significant differences between all treatment means. All statistical analysis was done using the software package SPSS (version 16).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aquaculture production is significantly influenced by the condition and quality of the pond input water. In the present scenario, pond input water is rich in nutrients especially phosphorous due to the impact of environmental pollution. Hence, treating the pond water for nutrient reduction before starting the culture activity is mandatory. In the present study, lime, dolomite and gypsum were used for the determination of total phosphorous reduction.

3.1. Phosphorous reduction

The level of phosphorous in different experimental groups was recorded at different days as shown in table 1 and fig. 1. There was significant difference (P<0.001) in level of phosphorous among different experimental groups at different days of experiment. The maximum phosphorous reduction was noticed in G40 treatment from the beginning (83% reduction) to the end (95% reduction) of the experiment. The reason behind this, gypsum is more soluble than the other two liming chemicals (lime and dolomite) and hence release increased concentration of calcium which reacting with more phosphate to form tricalcium phosphate [5, 6]. Bibliography [7] revealed that application of gypsum in plankton bloom existing ponds could reduce the abundance of
phytoplankton through the efficient reduction of dissolved phosphorous level by precipitation of calcium phosphate and reducing carbonate level through calcium carbonate formation.

**Figure 1: The percentage of phosphorous reduction in different treatments at different duration**

L20 = lime 20 mg/L, L40 = lime 40 mg/L, D20 = dolomite 20 mg/L, D40 = dolomite 40 mg/L, G20 = gypsum 20 mg/L, G40 = gypsum 40 mg/L.

Mean values in the same row with different lower case superscript differ significantly (P<0.001).

Data expressed as Mean ± SE, n=3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>L20</th>
<th>L40</th>
<th>D20</th>
<th>D40</th>
<th>G20</th>
<th>G40</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td>±0.07</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.07</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.07</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.06</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td>±0.07</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.07</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.06</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.03</td>
<td>±0.06</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td>±0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dolomite treatment, D40 showed around 60-70% of phosphorous reduction during the duration of experiment. Similarly, bibliography [8] observed that dolomite application showed the efficiency of 67% of phosphorous removal from 5.0 mg/dm$^3$ phosphate solutions at pH 6.2. Bibliography [9] also found that dolomite application reduce the level of phosphorous from septic tank waste around 70% in the first month.

Dolomite reduce the level of phosphorous from effluent water through the formation of less soluble calcium or magnesium phosphate through the exchange of carbonate ions by phosphate present in the water.

In L20 and L40 groups, comparatively very less and gradual phosphorous reduction was noticed than gypsum and dolomite applied treatments. Lime is comparatively less soluble and has one cation (Ca$^{2+}$) which makes them less active on phosphorous precipitation than gypsum and dolomite. According to [10], addition of powdered limestone up to 213 mg/L to sediments present in
soft-water lakes can lower the rates of total phosphorous release. The calcium carbonate can be effectively utilized for decreasing phosphate concentrations from 1.5 ppm to 0.4 ppm which accounts for approximately 70% of reduction of phosphorous [11]. The least or no phosphorous reduction was observed in control and all the treatment groups were highly and significantly different (P<0.001) from control.

3.2. pH

In pond water pH value is generally fluctuates greatly based on the time of the day due to photosynthesis because during photosynthesis phytoplankton utilizes available CO$_2$ and hence increase the pH. The rapid change in pH, even within the normal acceptable range of fish species, can leads to the death of the fish [12]. The pH value of different treatments was recorded and depicted in Fig. 2.

There is significant difference (P<0.001) exists in pH value of different treatments at different periods of experiment. Lime applied treatments L20 and L40 were showed maximum pH on the same day of application and gradually reduced as the duration of experiment proceeds further. This is agreement with Bibliography [13], observed that pH value is greatly increased as the dose of lime increased although it gradually decreases as the duration of application increased. Next to lime, dolomite applied treatments were showed higher increase in pH than gypsum application. Dolomite application initially increased the pH value and the value got slightly reduced 5 days after addition. According to [14], dolomite application in the pond neutralises acidity and increases pH.

![Figure 2: The value of pH on different treatments at different duration](image)

The bars for different treatments in particular day with different lower case alphabets differ significantly (P<0.001). Data expressed as logarithmic mean ± SE, n=3.

Among the all treatments G20 and G40 treatments were exhibited comparatively less increment in value of pH. The gypsum application may increase the pH value to certain extent and it can also helps to reduce the pH of water provided the available chloride level in the water is < 50 mg/L but the effectiveness of gypsum treatment on pH reduction is subject to debate. In the control experimental tanks, the pH was almost stable entire period of the experiment where as in all the other experiment groups the pH value was started decreasing after 5 days of agrochemicals application.

3.3. Alkalinity

Alkalinity is most essential characteristics of any water since it buffers the pH of the water body. If the water source is not having buffering capacity, very small inclusions of either acids or bases would significantly influence the value of pH and that is highly detrimental to aquatic organisms. The alkalinity value for different treatments was expressed in ppm and which were given in table 2.
### Table 2: The level of alkalinity (ppm) in different treatments at different duration

Mean values in the same row with different lower case superscript differ significantly (P<0.001).

Data expressed as Mean ± SE, n=3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>L20</th>
<th>L40</th>
<th>D20</th>
<th>D40</th>
<th>G20</th>
<th>G40</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>157.0</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>125.5</td>
<td>118.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±3.84</td>
<td>±4.07</td>
<td>±3.84</td>
<td>±4.24</td>
<td>±4.42</td>
<td>±3.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>153.1</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>143.5</td>
<td>121.1</td>
<td>115.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±2.65</td>
<td>±1.62</td>
<td>±2.66</td>
<td>±2.10</td>
<td>±3.20</td>
<td>±2.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td>143.2</td>
<td>140.5</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>118.7</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±4.28</td>
<td>±4.33</td>
<td>±3.63</td>
<td>±3.38</td>
<td>±3.83</td>
<td>±4.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>126.0</td>
<td>135.7</td>
<td>134.7</td>
<td>140.8</td>
<td>114.0</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±3.2</td>
<td>±3.57</td>
<td>±2.82</td>
<td>±3.76</td>
<td>±2.34</td>
<td>±3.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>121.7</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>131.1</td>
<td>133.7</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>109.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±2.67</td>
<td>±3.15</td>
<td>±3.08</td>
<td>±2.89</td>
<td>±3.59</td>
<td>±2.62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is significant difference (P<0.001) exists among the different treatments at different periods of the experiment. The alkalinity value showed a declining trend from the day one to fifteen of the experiment. Among the different treatments comparatively lower alkalinity value was observed in gypsum applied G40 treatment and the maximum alkalinity was noticed in treatment where lime applied (L40). The overall maximum alkalinity of 157 ppm was recorded during the first day in L 40 treatment and overall minimum was noticed in G40 treatment on 15th day of experiment. This is agreement with Boyd (1990) [6] who found that input of lime increased the total alkalinity of pond water which will maintain desirable effect on increasing buffering capacity and pH stability of pond water. The present result is correlating with the reports of [15] who explained that application of gypsum reduce the total alkalinity due to the release of increased concentration of calcium which precipitate more calcium carbonate. In the present study the alkalinity of dolomite applied treatments exhibit slightly less value than the lime applied treatment. Similarly, bibliography [16] reported that dolomite application produced lesser alkalinity than CaCO3.

### 3.4. Hardness

Hardness was measured in different treatments with different duration of experiment (Fig. 3). The maximum and minimum hardness were found in G 40 and control, respectively. The level of hardness among different treatments during different period of experiment depicted a slightly down trend as the duration of the experiment increases. The lime applied treatments showed more hardness than the control tanks.
Figure 3: The level of hardness on different treatments at different duration

The bars for different treatments in particular day with different lower case alphabets differ significantly (P<0.001). Data expressed as Mean ± SE, n=3.

According to [17] lime application in fish culture ponds is used to neutralize soil and water acidity and hence, increase total alkalinity and total hardness concentrations in water. In the present study, gypsum application increases the hardness of the water than lime and dilamite treated water. This is in agreement with Boyd (1990) [6] who reported that gypsum application can produce more hardness beyond that lime can produce due to its more solubility in water than lime and dolomite but it does not neutralise acidity of water as like lime do. Bibliography [18] observed that if total alkalinity is above 50 mg/L, addition of only gypsum will increase the total hardness because in such situation application of agricultural lime will not be effective.

IV. CONCLUSION

Application of chemicals such as gypsum, dolomite and lime showed the reduction of phosphorous from the water in the order of Gypsum > Dolomite > Lime. Gypsum is superior in phosphorous removal because it will leads to the formation of more insoluble calcium phosphate precipitates and it also more soluble in water than the remaining two agrochemicals. Among dolomite and lime, dolomite is better than lime due to the presence of both calcium and magnesium carbonate which may exert better phosphorous precipitation capacity than lime. The phosphorous reduction was increased as the time increases and maximum reduction of phosphorous was attained within 10 days. The maximum of 95% phosphorous reduction was achieved by application of 40 mg/L gypsum on 10th day of the experiment. Hence, gypsum at the dose of 40 mg/L could be recommended for the reduction of phosphorous from the inlet water for aquaculture.
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