



Influence of Irrigation, Nitrogen Stress and Method of Application on Dry Matter Partitioning, Source : Sink Ratio and Nutrient Uptake of Yard Long Bean [*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdcourt]

Anjana R.S¹ and Sheela, K.R²

¹Anjana R.S. M Sc (Ag.) Agronomy, Kerala Agricultural University

²Dr. Sheela K.R. Professor, Dept. of Agronomy, Kerala Agricultural University

ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out at the College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala, to assess the impact of varying irrigation interval, nitrogen stress and method of application of nutrients (soil, soil + foliar) on dry matter partitioning, source : sink ratio and nutrient uptake of yard long bean. Daily irrigation recorded significantly superior total dry matter production and partitioning of photosynthates towards the source while a better (lower) source : sink ratio (0.75) was recorded by irrigation once in three days at 20 mm depth (I₃). Nitrogen and phosphorus uptake were significantly improved in treatments receiving daily irrigation, while K uptake was observed to be superior in irrigation once in three days and daily irrigation. Application of N @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly superior total dry matter production and partitioning of photosynthates towards the source while N level of 25 per cent less than RD resulted in a lower source sink ratio (0.79). Soil application (M₁) was found to be superior over soil + foliar application (M₂) in total dry matter production, dry matter partitioning towards the sink, uptake of nutrients and in creating a better (lower) source : sink ratio.

Keywords: Dry matter partitioning, Foliar application irrigation, nitrogen level, nutrient uptake source : sink ratio

I. INTRODUCTION

Yard long bean [*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L.) Verdcourt] is an important vegetable crop of Kerala in coverage and preference. It is a vigorous climbing annual, growing to a height of three to four meters and produces very long, slender and succulent pods which may be white, light green, dark green or brownish red in colour. The pods are rich in protein (23.52 -26.27%), iron, calcium, phosphorus, vitamin A, vitamin C and dietary fibre (Ano and Ubochi, 2008). Compared to grain cowpea, the indeterminate growth habit of yard long bean results in variation in response to irrigation and nitrogen levels. Reduction in crop productivity due to enhanced leafiness is a common problem encountered by yard long bean farmers. Inducing a stress in crop management by increasing irrigation interval and controlled nutrient application can reduce the excess foliage growth and will help to prolong the reproductive phase. The present study attempts to find out the effect of irrigation and nutrient management practices in the source : sink relationships and nutrient uptake of yard long bean.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during the summer 2014 (24th January 2014 to 16th May), at the Instructional Farm, College of Agriculture, Vellayani, Kerala. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with four replications. Four different levels of irrigation formed the main plot treatments,

viz., daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1), irrigation in alternate days at 20 mm depth (I_2), irrigation once in 3 days at 20 mm depth (I_3) and irrigation once in 3 days up to flowering and then in alternate days at 20 mm depth (I_4). Combinations of nitrogen levels and method of application formed the sub plot treatments. The N levels tested were: recommended dose (RD) of nitrogen (N_0 : 30 kg ha⁻¹) and 25 per cent less of recommended dose of N (N_1 : 22.5 kg ha⁻¹). The application methods tried were M_1 : soil application of N and K in 4 splits (basal, at 20, 30 and 40 DAS) and M_2 : soil application of one-third of N and K as basal followed by foliar application of complex fertilizer 13:0:45 @ 0.5 per cent at fortnightly interval. Farm yard manure and full P were applied as basal dose, uniformly for all treatments.

The *ad hoc* recommendation for yard long bean under Kerala situation is 30:30:20 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹ in addition to organic manure dose of 20 t ha⁻¹.

Yard long bean variety *Vellayani Jyothika* was selected for the study. The sub plot size was 3 m x 3.60 m. The seeds were dibbled at 45 cm spacing @ two per hole at a depth of 5 cm in furrows taken 1.5 m apart within each sub plot. The crop was thinned two weeks after emergence and a single plant was maintained. The pre-experiment soil was analyzed for high organic carbon (0.9%) medium nitrogen (413.95 kg ha⁻¹), high phosphorus (151.4 kg ha⁻¹) and medium potassium (178.98 kg ha⁻¹).

The dry matter production was recorded during the final harvest. The observational plants were uprooted from each plot carefully without damaging the roots and separated into leaves, stem and roots. They were dried under shade separately and then oven dried at 80 ± 5° C for about 10 hours until two consecutive weights were the same. The final weight of individual parts were recorded and totaled to get the total dry matter production and expressed in q ha⁻¹. Similarly the dry matter production of pods was recorded and expressed as dry matter production of the sink and the ratio of source : sink worked out. The ratio between leaf dry weight and pod dry weight is expressed as source : sink ratio and this ratio assumes more significance in explaining the partitioning of assimilates from the source to economically important parts.

After the final harvest, the plant parts (leaf, stem, root and pod) were analyzed for major nutrients. Nutrient uptake was calculated by multiplying the percentage nutrient content with total dry matter production and expressed in kg ha⁻¹. Nutrient uptake by the source and sink were calculated separately.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Dry matter partitioning and Source: sink ratio

Daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1) was significantly superior to other treatments on leaf, stem and total dry matter production. Root and pod dry matter production were not influenced by irrigation levels. Irrigating at 20 mm depth once in three days (I_3) recorded ideal source : sink ratio (0.75) while daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1) recorded the highest ratio. When an optimum LAI is achieved, a reduction in source : sink ratio is always considered as ideal in productivity enhancement. The optimum development of photosynthetic apparatus (leaf) and leaf dry matter production with a better partitioning of photosynthates to the economic part (pod dry matter) in I_3 resulted in better source : sink ratio.

Application of N @ 25 per cent less of RD (N_1) recorded a better (lower) source : sink ratio over RD N (N_0). The total dry matter production and leaf dry matter production was superior in RD N (30 kg ha⁻¹) and the pod dry matter production was non-significant between two N levels. This resulted in reduced source : sink ratio in 25 per cent less of RD N (22.5 kg N ha⁻¹). This observation indicates that the recommended dose of 30 kg N ha⁻¹ enhanced the leafiness (evident from the leaf dry matter

production) and did not contribute to yield. Geetha (1999) also observed that increasing N from 20 to 40 kg ha⁻¹ enhanced the vegetative growth without any improvement in yield.

Dry matter accumulation in pods and total dry matter production were influenced by method of nutrient application, where soil application (M₁) was observed to be superior to soil + foliar application (M₂). Soil + foliar application of N and K (M₂) significantly increased the source : sink ratio over soil application alone. Higher quantities of N and K available to the crop in soil application might have enhanced the total dry matter production. Reduced source : sink ratio was recorded for soil application of nutrients. The higher quantities of nutrients available in soil application did not cause any variation on source (leaf dry matter) but enhanced the pod dry matter yield leading to ideal source : sink ratio.

Considering the I x N interaction, i₁n₁ produced significantly superior stem dry matter production whereas i₁n₀ recorded the highest pod dry matter which was on par with i₄n₀, i₃n₁ and i₂n₁. i₃n₁ registered the lowest source : sink ratio (0.70) while i₂n₀ recorded the highest ratio which was on par with i₁n₀ and i₄n₁.

Regarding I x M i₁m₁ recorded significantly superior stem dry matter production whereas, in pod, i₃m₁ was superior and was on par with i₄m₁. i₃m₁ was found to produce better source : sink ratio (0.68).

Table 1. Effect of irrigation, nitrogen levels and method of application on dry matter partitioning, q ha⁻¹

| Treatments | Leaf | Stem | Root | Pod | Total |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Irrigation Levels | | | | | |
| I ₁ - Daily at 10 mm depth | 11.74 | 22.93 | 1.38 | 13.71 | 49.76 |
| I ₂ - Alternate days at 20 mm depth | 10.97 | 22.53 | 1.51 | 13.29 | 48.29 |
| I ₃ - Once in three days, 20 mm depth | 10.11 | 20.15 | 1.41 | 13.60 | 45.28 |
| I ₄ - I ₃ up to flowering followed by I ₂ | 11.23 | 21.39 | 1.44 | 13.46 | 47.51 |
| SEm (±) | 0.128 | 0.387 | 0.392 | 0.251 | 0.273 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.290 | 0.876 | NS | NS | 0.619 |
| Nitrogen Levels | | | | | |
| N ₀ - RD N | 11.49 | 21.87 | 1.47 | 13.49 | 48.33 |
| N ₁ - 25 % less of RD N | 10.53 | 21.63 | 1.40 | 13.53 | 47.10 |
| SEm (±) | 0.109 | 0.196 | 0.500 | 0.149 | 0.335 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.221 | NS | NS | NS | 0.679 |
| Method of application | | | | | |
| M ₁ - Soil application | 11.03 | 21.85 | 1.42 | 14.26 | 48.57 |
| M ₂ - Soil + foliar application | 10.99 | 21.64 | 1.45 | 12.77 | 46.85 |
| SEm (±) | 0.109 | 0.196 | 0.500 | 0.149 | 0.335 |
| CD (0.05) | NS | NS | NS | 0.302 | 0.679 |

Table 2. Interaction effect of irrigation, nitrogen and method of application on dry matter partitioning, q ha⁻¹

| Treatments | Leaf | Stem | Root | Pod | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| I x N | | | | | |
| i ₁ n ₀ | 12.35 | 22.52 | 1.47 | 14.07 | 50.41 |
| i ₁ n ₁ | 11.14 | 23.33 | 1.29 | 13.35 | 49.11 |
| i ₂ n ₀ | 11.57 | 22.85 | 1.48 | 12.67 | 48.58 |
| i ₂ n ₁ | 10.37 | 22.20 | 1.53 | 13.90 | 48.00 |
| i ₃ n ₀ | 10.55 | 20.29 | 1.39 | 13.18 | 45.42 |
| i ₃ n ₁ | 9.67 | 20.01 | 1.44 | 14.03 | 45.15 |
| i ₄ n ₀ | 11.52 | 21.80 | 1.53 | 14.05 | 48.90 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $i_4 n_1$ | 10.94 | 20.97 | 1.35 | 12.86 | 46.13 |
| SEm (\pm) | 0.218 | 0.393 | 0.100 | 0.298 | 0.669 |
| CD (0.05) | NS | 0.796 | NS | 0.604 | NS |
| I x M | | | | | |
| $i_1 m_1$ | 11.90 | 23.55 | 1.35 | 14.08 | 50.88 |
| $i_1 m_2$ | 11.59 | 22.30 | 1.40 | 13.34 | 48.64 |
| $i_2 m_1$ | 10.89 | 22.59 | 1.56 | 13.99 | 49.02 |
| $i_2 m_2$ | 11.05 | 22.47 | 1.46 | 12.58 | 47.56 |
| $i_3 m_1$ | 10.01 | 20.21 | 1.39 | 14.74 | 46.37 |
| $i_3 m_2$ | 10.21 | 20.09 | 1.43 | 12.47 | 44.20 |
| $i_4 m_1$ | 11.34 | 21.07 | 1.37 | 14.23 | 48.00 |
| $i_4 m_2$ | 11.12 | 21.71 | 1.50 | 12.69 | 47.03 |
| SEm (\pm) | 0.218 | 0.393 | 0.100 | 0.298 | 0.669 |
| CD (0.05) | NS | 0.796 | NS | 0.604 | NS |
| N x M | | | | | |
| $n_0 m_1$ | 11.71 | 22.31 | 1.47 | 13.92 | 49.41 |
| $n_0 m_2$ | 11.28 | 21.42 | 1.47 | 13.07 | 47.24 |
| $n_1 m_1$ | 10.35 | 21.40 | 1.37 | 14.60 | 47.73 |
| $n_1 m_2$ | 10.71 | 21.86 | 1.44 | 12.47 | 46.47 |
| SEm (\pm) | 0.154 | 0.278 | 0.071 | 0.21 | 0.473 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.313 | 0.563 | NS | 0.427 | NS |
| I x N x M | | | | | |
| $i_1 n_0 m_1$ | 12.57 | 23.40 | 1.54 | 14.43 | 51.95 |
| $i_1 n_0 m_2$ | 12.12 | 21.64 | 1.40 | 13.71 | 48.87 |
| $i_1 n_1 m_1$ | 11.22 | 23.69 | 1.17 | 13.73 | 49.82 |
| $i_1 n_1 m_2$ | 11.06 | 22.97 | 1.41 | 12.96 | 48.4 |
| $i_2 n_0 m_1$ | 11.98 | 23.70 | 1.48 | 12.86 | 50.02 |
| $i_2 n_0 m_2$ | 11.16 | 22.01 | 1.49 | 12.49 | 47.15 |
| $i_2 n_1 m_1$ | 9.79 | 21.47 | 1.64 | 15.12 | 48.03 |
| $i_2 n_1 m_2$ | 10.95 | 22.93 | 1.42 | 12.68 | 47.98 |
| $i_3 n_0 m_1$ | 10.73 | 20.72 | 1.38 | 13.96 | 46.80 |
| $i_3 n_0 m_2$ | 10.37 | 19.87 | 1.40 | 12.40 | 44.04 |
| $i_3 n_1 m_1$ | 9.30 | 19.71 | 1.40 | 15.52 | 45.94 |
| $i_3 n_1 m_2$ | 10.04 | 20.31 | 1.47 | 12.53 | 44.35 |
| $i_4 n_0 m_1$ | 11.57 | 21.41 | 1.48 | 14.42 | 48.89 |
| $i_4 n_0 m_2$ | 11.46 | 22.19 | 1.57 | 13.69 | 48.91 |
| $i_4 n_1 m_1$ | 11.10 | 20.72 | 1.26 | 14.04 | 47.12 |
| $i_4 n_1 m_2$ | 10.78 | 21.23 | 1.45 | 11.69 | 45.15 |
| SEm (\pm) | 0.309 | 0.555 | 0.141 | 0.421 | 0.947 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.627 | 1.126 | NS | NS | NS |

Nutrient uptake

Effect of irrigation levels

The N uptake by different plant parts and the total N uptake ($169.82 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) were significantly higher in daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1). Irrigating once in three days at 20 mm depth (I_3) recorded significantly superior P uptake by leaf, pod and root, whereas daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1) recorded the highest uptake by stem and also the total P uptake. Daily irrigation at 10 mm depth (I_1) registered the highest K uptake by leaf and root and was on par with irrigating once in three days (I_3) in case of root K uptake. K uptake by stem was significantly superior in irrigating once in three days at 20 mm depth (I_3) while, irrigating once in three days at 20 mm depth followed by irrigating in alternate days (I_4) recorded the highest K uptake by pod (41.68 kg ha^{-1}). The total K uptake by all plant parts was

superior for I_3 and was on par with I_1 . The availability of adequate moisture in the root zone throughout the crop growth stage might have enhanced mineralization, solubility and availability of nutrients in this treatment. This also enhanced the total dry matter production and resulted in highest total uptake of nutrients. Observing the partitioning of nutrients in plant parts, it is evident that daily irrigation registered highest uptake values of N in different plant parts. Phosphorus and potassium uptake values of different plant parts behaved differently at different levels of irrigation.

Effect of nitrogen levels

The total N uptake and uptake of nitrogen by different plant parts were higher for recommended dose of N (N_0). According to Tanaka *et al.* (1964), the nutrient uptake is controlled by factors like nutrient availability in soil, nutrient absorption power of the roots and rate of increase in dry matter. The higher availability of N in the root zone and the higher total dry matter production enhanced the total N uptake. This increase in N uptake at higher N level was in agreement with the reports of Jyothi (1995) and Geetha (1999).

Application of N @ 25 per cent less of RD N (N_1) recorded superior P uptake by leaf, stem, pod and also the total P uptake while RD N (N_0) recorded higher P uptake by root. The nitrogen stress might have promoted N fixation and this enhanced N fixation necessitated higher production of ATP which might have resulted in higher P uptake. Kumar *et al.* (1979) observed that application of a reduced N dose of 20 kg N ha⁻¹ in combination with 40 kg P₂O₅ recorded the maximum uptake of N and P in cowpea. In this experiment also N applied @ 22.5 kg ha⁻¹ (25 per cent less RD N) and P @ 30 kg ha⁻¹ recorded highest P uptake. Application of lesser amount of N and more of P is ideal for a leguminous crop like yard long bean.

It was also observed from the present study that potassium uptake by leaf, root, pod and total uptake increased at higher level of N (recommended dose). The higher level of N might have stimulated the uptake of K leading to higher values in N_0 (Tisdale *et al.*, 1993). Moreover, the enhanced dry matter production resulted in higher K uptake values. Similar results were reported by (Geetha, 1999) in yard long bean.

Effect of method of application

The N uptake by leaf, root and pod were higher in soil application of nutrients (M_1) while N uptake by stem was higher for soil + foliar application (M_2). Soil application of N and K (M_1) registered more P uptake over soil + foliar application (M_2) for leaf, pod and root uptake whereas, P uptake by stem was higher in soil + foliar application. Soil application recorded higher K uptake by leaf, stem and root, while it was insignificant in K uptake by pod. The total uptake of N, P and K were higher in soil application of nutrients. The increased total dry matter production and availability of more quantity of nutrients in soil application also resulted in a higher uptake of N, P and K. The uptake of N, P and K by source and N and P by sink were also found to be superior in soil application, while K uptake by sink was non-significant.

Thus from the experiment it was concluded that irrigating at an interval of 3 days at 20 mm depth during summer months along with the application of nitrogen @ 22.5 kg ha⁻¹ as soil application in split doses (10, 20, 30 and 40 days after sowing) provides an ideal source : sink ratio for yard long bean.

Table 3. Effect of irrigation, nitrogen levels and method of application on uptake of nitrogen, kg ha⁻¹

| Treatments | Leaf (source) | Stem | Root | Pod (sink) | Total |
|--|---------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| Irrigation Levels | | | | | |
| I ₁ - Daily at 10 mm depth | 45.12 | 53.15 | 3.41 | 68.13 | 169.82 |
| I ₂ - Alternate days at 20 mm depth | 38.33 | 46.29 | 2.78 | 65.11 | 152.52 |
| I ₃ - Once in three days, 20 mm depth | 33.08 | 48.10 | 3.15 | 57.71 | 142.04 |
| I ₄ - I ₃ up to flowering followed by I ₂ | 40.26 | 46.75 | 2.91 | 57.26 | 147.18 |
| SEm (±) | 0.534 | 0.986 | 0.068 | 1.169 | 1.024 |
| CD (0.05) | 1.209 | 2.231 | 0.155 | 2.646 | 2.317 |
| Nitrogen Levels | | | | | |
| N ₀ - Recommended N dose | 44.10 | 49.53 | 3.19 | 64.20 | 161.02 |
| N ₁ - 25 % Recommended dose | 34.30 | 47.62 | 2.94 | 59.90 | 144.75 |
| SEm (±) | 0.436 | 0.442 | 0.081 | 0.703 | 1.123 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.884 | 0.897 | 0.165 | 1.425 | 2.277 |
| Method of application | | | | | |
| M ₁ - Soil application | 41.11 | 47.31 | 3.22 | 68.80 | 160.44 |
| M ₂ - Soil + foliar application | 37.29 | 49.84 | 2.90 | 55.31 | 145.33 |
| SEm (±) | 0.436 | 0.442 | 0.081 | 0.703 | 1.123 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.884 | 0.897 | 0.165 | 1.425 | 2.277 |

Table 4. Effect of irrigation, nitrogen levels and method of application on uptake of phosphorus, kg ha⁻¹

| Treatments | Leaf (source) | Stem | Root | Pod (sink) | Total |
|--|---------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|
| Irrigation Levels | | | | | |
| I ₁ - Daily at 10 mm depth | 3.10 | 7.98 | 0.35 | 6.23 | 17.66 |
| I ₂ - Alternate days at 20 mm depth | 3.17 | 6.42 | 0.31 | 5.80 | 15.71 |
| I ₃ - Once in three days, 20 mm depth | 3.92 | 5.74 | 0.38 | 7.41 | 17.46 |
| I ₄ - I ₃ up to flowering followed by I ₂ | 3.38 | 5.91 | 0.25 | 5.89 | 15.44 |
| SEm (±) | 0.048 | 0.123 | 0.009 | 0.114 | 0.093 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.109 | 0.277 | 0.020 | 0.257 | 0.211 |
| Nitrogen Levels | | | | | |
| N ₀ - Recommended N dose | 3.11 | 5.65 | 0.34 | 6.01 | 15.11 |
| N ₁ - 25 % Recommended dose | 3.68 | 7.38 | 0.30 | 6.65 | 18.02 |
| SEm (±) | 0.037 | 0.065 | 0.012 | 0.067 | 0.113 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.075 | 0.132 | 0.024 | 0.136 | 0.228 |
| Method of application | | | | | |
| M ₁ - Soil application | 3.89 | 6.05 | 0.34 | 6.77 | 17.05 |
| M ₂ - Soil + foliar application | 2.90 | 6.98 | 0.30 | 5.89 | 16.08 |
| SEm (±) | 0.037 | 0.065 | 0.012 | 0.067 | 0.113 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.075 | 0.132 | 0.024 | 0.136 | 0.228 |

Table 5. Effect of irrigation, nitrogen levels and method of application on uptake of potassium, kg ha⁻¹

| Treatments | Leaf (source) | Stem | Root | Pod (sink) | Total |
|--|---------------|-------|-------|------------|--------|
| Irrigation Levels | | | | | |
| I ₁ - Daily at 10 mm depth | 47.31 | 69.67 | 4.27 | 38.14 | 159.40 |
| I ₂ - Alternate days at 20 mm depth | 32.45 | 60.22 | 2.97 | 41.21 | 136.85 |
| I ₃ - Once in three days, 20 mm depth | 36.45 | 78.33 | 4.15 | 41.29 | 160.23 |
| I ₄ - I ₃ up to flowering followed by I ₂ | 25.84 | 63.58 | 3.15 | 41.68 | 134.26 |
| SEm (±) | 0.474 | 1.178 | 0.117 | 0.760 | 0.984 |
| CD (0.05) | 1.071 | 2.664 | 0.264 | 1.719 | 2.226 |
| Nitrogen Levels | | | | | |
| N ₀ - RD N | 38.33 | 67.57 | 3.83 | 44.07 | 153.81 |
| N ₁ - 25 % less of RD N | 32.70 | 68.33 | 3.44 | 37.09 | 141.56 |
| SEm (±) | 0.446 | 0.668 | 0.133 | 0.467 | 1.106 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.904 | NS | 0.270 | 0.946 | 2.242 |
| Method of application | | | | | |
| M ₁ - Soil application | 36.19 | 72.04 | 3.91 | 40.40 | 152.55 |
| M ₂ - Soil + foliar application | 34.84 | 63.86 | 3.36 | 40.75 | 142.82 |
| SEm (±) | 0.446 | 0.668 | 0.133 | 0.467 | 1.106 |
| CD (0.05) | 0.904 | 1.355 | 0.270 | NS | 2.242 |

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Ano, A.O. and Ubochi, C.I. 2008. Nutrient composition of climbing and prostrate vegetable cowpea accessions. *African J. Biotech.* **7**(20): 3795-3798.
- [2] Geetha, V. 1999. Response of vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* subsp. *sesquipedalis* (L) verdcourt) to nitrogen and potassium under varying levels of irrigation. M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 116p.
- [3] Jyothi, K.I. 1995. The effect of phenophased irrigation on vegetable cowpea (*Vigna sesquipedalis*) under graded doses of nitrogen and potassium. M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis, Kerala Agricultural University, Thrissur, 122p.
- [4] Kumar, B.M., Pillai, P.B. and Prabhakaran, P.V. 1979. Effect of levels of N, P and K on the uptake of nutrients and grain yield in cowpea. *Agric. Res. J. Kerala* **17**(2): 289-292.
- [5] Tanaka, A., Navasero, S.A., Garcia, C.V., Parao, F.T. and Ramirez, E. 1964. Growth habit of the rice plant in the tropics and its effect on nitrogen response. Tech. Bull.No. 3, International. Rice Research. Institute, 80 pp.
- [6] Tisdale, S.L., Nelson, W.L., Beaton, J.D. and Havlin, J.L. 1993. *Soil Fertility and Fertilisers* (5th Ed.). Mac Millan Publishing Co. Inc. New York p.634.

