



Influence of temperature humidity index on the physiological parameters and growth rate of crossbred cattle calves

Aziz, Z.¹, Varma, G.G.², Raji, K.³ and Gleeja, V. L.⁴

¹ Department of veterinary physiology, veterinary college, Pookode

² Dean, College of Dairy Science, Mannuthy

³ Department of veterinary physiology, veterinary college, Mannuthy

⁴ Department of statistics, veterinary college, Mannuthy

Abstract

The present study was conducted to assess the adaptation capacity of castrated crossbred cattle calves to the varying temperature humidity prevalent in central midlands of Kerala using physiological and growth parameters. The temperature humidity index recorded throughout the year varied from 76.5 to 82. Rectal temperature remained invariable throughout the experimental period but respiratory rate and pulse rate exhibited a significant correlation ($P < 0.01$) with temperature humidity index. A negative correlation was observed between temperature humidity index and relative growth rate of the experimental animals at $P < 0.01$ level.

Keywords-temperature humidity index; physiological response; growth rate; cattle; thermoregulation

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the emerging and most important challenges facing the livestock throughout the world is climate change. Livestock face a potential risk in the growth and production due to variation in climatic variables like temperature, humidity and radiations. High ambient temperature combined with elevated air humidity causes additional discomfort and increases the stress level of the animal. The combined effect of air temperature and humidity associated with the level of thermal stress is represented by temperature humidity index [1].

India ventured on crossbreeding programme to increase the production level of indigenous breeds. This has resulted in higher production levels but these crossbred animals are found to be more susceptible to stress condition. Kerala is a tropical state having hot and humid climate with fluctuating weather conditions throughout the year. Crossbred cattle are the main livestock kept by the people for milk and meat. The present study was undertaken to investigate the adaption capacity of crossbred cattle calves to the varying temperature humidity prevalent in central midlands of Kerala

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six castrated male cross bred calves between four to seven months of age were selected from the University Livestock Farm and Fodder Research and Development Scheme, KVASU, Mannuthy, for this study. Ambient temperature and ambient relative humidity inside and outside the shed wererecorded at hourly intervals on all days of the experiment using HOBO data logger(HOBO U 12 Temp/RH/Light/Ext.). Daily averagetemperature humidity index (THI)was calculated using the

equation, $THI = db^{\circ}F - (0.55 - 0.55 \times RH) \times (db^{\circ}F - 58)$, where $db^{\circ}F$ is the dry bulb temperature in Fahrenheit and RH is the relative humidity (RH%)/100 [2].

Rectal temperature, respiratory rate and pulse rate were recorded daily at 09:00 am and 15:00 pm. till the end of the experiment. Body weights were recorded on the day of commencement of the experiment and at fortnightly intervals till the end of experiment. Relative growth rate was calculated using the formula: $[(W_2 - W_1) / W_1] \times 100$, where W_1 is the initial weight and W_2 is the final weight.

The data obtained on various parameters were statistically analysed as per the method of [3] using Pearson's correlation. The whole data was analysed using computerized software programme SPSS Ver. 20.0.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The values obtained are summarized in Table 1 and 2. The lowest temperature humidity index of 76.5 ± 0.20 was recorded in the second half of December, while the highest temperature humidity index of 82 ± 0.36 was observed in the month of March. The temperature humidity index recorded throughout the year varied from 76.5 to 82.

Table 1 Physiological parameters and growth rate of crossbred cattle calves in varying THI

PERIOD	THI	PARAMETER			
		RECTAL TEMPERATURE (OF)	RESPIRATORY RATE (BREATHS/MIN)	PULSE RATE (PULSE/MIN)	RELATIVE GROWTH RATE (%)
1 st half of January	77.5 ± 0.34	102.0 ± 0.01	23.27 ± 0.58	55.25 ± 0.92	9.78 ± 1.08
2 nd half of January	78.5 ± 0.64	102.1 ± 0.01	24.55 ± 0.73	56.81 ± 0.65	10.08 ± 0.50
1 st half of February	78.5 ± 0.57	102.1 ± 0.03	24.41 ± 0.67	56.67 ± 0.56	10.51 ± 0.54
2 nd half of February	77.5 ± 0.63	101.9 ± 0.03	23.78 ± 0.31	55.43 ± 1.34	11.23 ± 0.62
1 st half of March	80.0 ± 0.39	102.1 ± 0.01	25.46 ± 0.42	58.24 ± 1.05	9.30 ± 0.70
2 nd half of March	82.0 ± 0.44	102.0 ± 0.04	27.13 ± 0.67	65.71 ± 0.77	4.55 ± 0.78
1 st half of April	82.0 ± 0.36	102.0 ± 0.03	28.93 ± 0.78	66.31 ± 1.43	6.06 ± 0.75
2 nd half of April	80.0 ± 0.45	102.0 ± 0.03	25.17 ± 0.37	59.83 ± 0.67	7.80 ± 0.58
1 st half of May	81.0 ± 0.23	102.2 ± 0.02	26.73 ± 0.42	62.57 ± 0.73	8.41 ± 0.83
2 nd half of May	81.0 ± 0.39	102.1 ± 0.02	26.91 ± 0.61	63.92 ± 1.06	8.91 ± 0.80
1 st half of June	80.0 ± 0.39	102.1 ± 0.04	25.84 ± 0.54	59.31 ± 0.84	10.91 ± 0.51
2 nd half of June	79.0 ± 0.22	101.9 ± 0.01	24.32 ± 0.75	58.75 ± 0.57	12.36 ± 1.28
1 st half of July	79.5 ± 0.40	102.1 ± 0.01	24.55 ± 0.58	58.60 ± 0.32	12.48 ± 0.58
2 nd half of July	77.5 ± 0.45	101.9 ± 0.02	23.76 ± 0.29	55.43 ± 0.59	13.10 ± 0.49
1 st half of August	78.0 ± 0.20	102.0 ± 0.02	24.92 ± 0.93	56.74 ± 1.57	12.88 ± 0.57
2 nd half of August	79.0 ± 0.34	102.0 ± 0.04	25.31 ± 0.47	57.82 ± 0.61	12.73 ± 0.79
1 st half of September	79.5 ± 0.32	102.1 ± 0.04	25.49 ± 0.58	58.09 ± 0.89	12.63 ± 0.67
2 nd half of September	80.0 ± 0.34	102.2 ± 0.04	26.82 ± 0.64	59.71 ± 1.62	12.41 ± 0.80
1 st half of October	79.4 ± 0.30	102.1 ± 0.01	25.76 ± 0.33	58.64 ± 0.67	13.20 ± 0.40
2 nd half of October	80.5 ± 0.60	102.0 ± 0.01	24.16 ± 0.26	58.37 ± 0.76	12.13 ± 0.39
1 st half of November	78.5 ± 0.36	102.0 ± 0.01	25.85 ± 0.58	56.99 ± 1.04	13.13 ± 0.64
2 nd half of November	78.5 ± 0.40	102.1 ± 0.05	24.99 ± 0.47	56.48 ± 0.23	13.50 ± 0.40
1 st half of December	78.5 ± 0.36	102.0 ± 0.02	24.76 ± 0.69	55.97 ± 0.65	12.95 ± 0.56
2 nd half of December	76.5 ± 0.20	101.9 ± 0.02	23.74 ± 0.57	54.23 ± 0.93	13.73 ± 0.67

Table 2. Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) of physiological parameters and growth rate of crossbred cattle calves with varying THI

Parameters	Cattle
Rectal temperature	0.409
Respiratory Rate	0.782**
Pulse rate	0.925**
Growth rate	-0.708**

Note: ** Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed)

No significant correlation was observed between temperature humidity index and rectal temperature ($r=0.782$) in crossbred cattle calves at $P<0.01$ level. This is in agreement with the findings of [4] and [5]. Temperature humidity index exhibited a positive correlation with the respiratory rate ($r=0.869$) and pulse rate ($r=0.925$) in crossbred cattle calves at $P<0.01$ level which is in accordance to the results of [6, 7, 8]. The respiratory rate increased by three breaths per minute and pulse rate increased by 12 beats per minute when the temperature humidity index increased from 76.5 to 82. A consistent rectal temperature and increased rates of respiration and pulse when animals are exposed to a range of temperature humidity index from 76.5 to 82, indicated that the animals had high level of heat tolerance. They maintained thermal balance by removing excess heat through enhanced respiratory rate and pulse rate.

Temperature humidity index showed negative correlation with the relative growth rate ($r=0.708$) in crossbred cattle calves at $P<0.01$ level. These findings are comparable to the observations of [9, 10]. The decrease in growth rate with increase in THI might be due to the fact that animals used most of the energy to maintain homeothermy instead of growth.

Bibliography

- [1] Bohmanova, J.; Misztak, I. and Cole, J. B. 2007. Temperature humidity indices as indicators of milk production losses due to heat stress. *J. Dairy Sci.* **90**: 1947-1956.
- [2] LPHSI. 1990. Livestock and poultry heat stress indices. The livestock and poultry heat stress indices for cattle, sheep and goats. Cited in the Agriculture Engineering Technology Guide, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA.
- [3] Snedecor, G. W. and Cochran, W. G. 1994. *Statistical Methods*. (8th Ed.). Oxford and IBH publishing corporation, Calcutta. 564p.
- [4] Zucchini, M.; Barbieri, S.; Boureima, K. and Crimeila, C. 2003. Heat stress parameters in Azawak cattle (*Bos indicus*): four seasons of data collection. *Ital. J. Anim Sci.* **2**: 142-144.
- [5] Alam, M. M.; Hashem, A.; Rahmam, M. M.; Hossain, M. M.; Haque, M. R.; Sobham, Z. and Islam, M. S. 2011. Effect of heat stress on behavior, physiological and blood parameters of goat. *Progress Agric.* **22** (1&2): 37-45.
- [6] Lallawmkini, M. C.; Singh, S. V.; Dandage, S. D.; Vaidya, M. M. and Upadhyay, R. C. 2010. Impact of vitamin E supplementation on antioxidants in thermal stressed Murrah buffaloes. *Revista Veterinaria.* **21**(1): 311.
- [7] Vaidya, M. M.; Kumar, P. and Singh, S. V. 2010. Effect of THI and heat load index on physiological parameters of Murrah buffaloes and Karan Fries cattle during different seasons. *J. Anim. Sci.* **578**: 57-58.
- [8] Naik, A. V. N.; Kumar, A. S.; Bramhaiah, K. V. R. and Chakravarthi, V. P. 2013. Effect of seasons on physiological and haematological values in Punganur cattle. *Int. J. Pharm. Bio. Sci.* **4**(4): 40-49.
- [9] Nordan, A.; Ronchi, B. and Valentine, A. 1991. Effects of solar radiation on water and food intake and weight gain in Sarda and Comisana female lambs. In: animal husbandry in warm climates. **55**: 149-150.
- [10] Marai, I. F. M.; El-Darawany, A. A.; Fadiel, A. and Abdel-Hafez, M. A. M. 2007. Physiological traits as affected by heat stress in sheep. A review. *Small Rumin. Res.* **71**: 1-12.

